# Finite-time breakdown of chemical precipitation patterns Potsdam, April 4, 2019 Marcel Oliver

Joint work with Zymantas Darbenas and Rein van der Hout

#### Plan

- 1. Liesegang rings
- 2. The Keller–Rubinow model in the fast reaction limit
- 3. Self-similar solution
- 4. Breakdown of solutions to a simplified model
- 5. On the uniqueness of the full model
- 6. Long-time asymptotics



# 1. Liesegang rings





# 2. Scaling laws



(From Hilhorst, van der Hout, Mimura, and Ohnishi, 2009)

$$\frac{X_{n+1}}{X_n} \to 1 + p \text{ with } p > 0 \qquad X_n \sim \sqrt{t_n} \qquad w_n \sim X_n^{\alpha} \text{ with } \alpha > 0$$
(spacing law) (time law) (width law)



### 3. Modeling

#### Post-nucleation theories - not considered here

- Structures develop through competitive growth, similar to Ostwald ripening
- Spurious spiral patterns in 2D

Pre-nucleation theories (e.g. Keller–Rubinow, 1981)

$$A+B\to C\to D$$

leads to a system of reaction-diffusion equations

$$a_t = \nu_a \,\Delta a - k \,ab$$
$$b_t = \nu_b \,\Delta b - k \,ab$$
$$c_t = \nu_c \,\Delta c + k \,ab - P(c, d)$$
$$d_t = P(c, d)$$

**Supersaturation function** 

$$P(c,d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d = 0 \text{ and } c < c^{\top} \\ \lambda (c - c^{\perp})_{+} & \text{if } d > 0 \text{ or } c \ge c^{\top} \end{cases}$$



# 4. Space-time visualization



Numerical simulation by András Büki http://www.insilico.hu/liesegang/scaling/scaling.html



### 5. Fast reaction limit

#### Simplifying assumptions

- $\nu_b = 0$  (in experiments,  $\nu_a \gg \nu_b$ )
- $c^{\perp} = 0$
- Domain is half-line
- reaction rate *k* is large

**Theorem** (Hilhorst, van der Hout, Mimura, and Ohnishi, 2009). As  $k \to \infty$ ,

$$k a_k b_k \rightharpoonup \frac{\alpha \beta}{2\sqrt{t}} \,\delta(x - \alpha \sqrt{t})$$

weakly in measure.

#### **Resulting simplified model**

$$c_t = \nu_c \,\Delta c + \frac{\alpha\beta}{2\sqrt{t}} \,\delta(x - \alpha\sqrt{t}) - \lambda \, p[x, t; u]u$$

where

$$p[x,t;c] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c(x,s) \ge c^{\top} \text{ for some } 0 \le s \le t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



# 6. Weak formulation

 $(u-\psi)_t = (u-\psi)_{xx} - p[x,t;u] u$ 

with  $u_x(0,t) = 0$  for  $t \ge 0$  and u(x,0) = 0 for x > 0, and

$$p[x,t;u] \in \begin{cases} 0 & \text{ if } \sup_{s \in [0,t]} u(x,s) < u^* \\ [0,1] & \text{ if } \sup_{s \in [0,t]} u(x,s) = u^* \\ 1 & \text{ if } \sup_{s \in [0,t]} u(x,s) > u^* \end{cases}$$

#### **Key points**

- Subtract out precipitation-less solution  $\psi$  to remove singular forcing
- Equation is satisfied in an integral sense
- Precipitation function *p* may take fractional values at criticality

#### What was known?

- Existence (Hilhorst, van der Hout, Mimura, and Ohnishi, 2009)
- X Uniqueness?
- ✗ Binary p?



# 7. Artist's impression of the HHMO-dynamics





#### Naive numerics...





#### ... under grid refinement







### 10. Self-similar solution

#### Introduce parabolic scaling

Setting  $\eta = x/\sqrt{t}$  and  $s = \sqrt{t}$ , we obtain

$$s v_s - \eta v_\eta = 2 v_{\eta\eta} + \alpha \beta \, \delta_\alpha - 2 \, s^2 \, q[\eta, s; v] \, v$$

#### Enforce a self-similar precipitation density function

$$q(\eta, s) = \frac{\gamma}{s^2 \eta^2} H(\alpha - \eta)$$
 or  $p(x, t) = \frac{\gamma}{x^2} H(\alpha \sqrt{t} - x)$ 

#### Look for stationary solutions in $\eta$ -s-variables

$$\begin{split} \phi_{l}'' &+ \frac{\eta}{2} \, \phi_{l}' - \frac{\gamma}{\eta^{2}} \, \phi_{l} = 0 \qquad \text{with } \phi_{l}'(0) = 0 \qquad \text{for } \eta \in [0, \alpha) \\ \phi_{r}'' &+ \frac{\eta}{2} \, \phi_{r}' = 0 \qquad \text{with } \phi_{r}(\eta) \to 0 \text{ as } \eta \to \infty \qquad \text{for } \eta \in (\alpha, \infty) \end{split}$$

#### **Matching conditions**

$$\phi(\alpha) = u^*$$
 and  $[\phi'(\alpha)] = -\frac{\alpha\beta}{2}$ 



# 11. Self-similar solution (ctd.)

$$\Phi(\eta;\kappa) = \begin{cases} \frac{u_{\kappa}^{*} \eta^{\kappa} M\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}, \kappa + \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\eta^{2}}{4}\right)}{\alpha^{\kappa} M\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}, \kappa + \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\right)} & \text{if } \eta < \alpha\\ \frac{u_{\kappa}^{*}}{\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right) & \text{if } \eta \ge \alpha \end{cases}$$





# 12. Theorems

#### Long-time asymptotics (Darbenas, van der Hout, O., 2018)

Let (u, p) be a weak solution s.t. there exists a measurable function  $p^* \neq 0$  s.t. for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

 $p(x,t) = p^*(x)$  for  $t > x^2/\alpha^2$ .

Then the following are equivalent.

(i)  $h(x) \equiv \frac{1}{x} \int_0^{x^-} \xi^2 p^*(\xi) d\xi \to \gamma \text{ as } x \to \infty$ 

("precipitation function is asymptotically self-similar")

- (ii) u converges to some limit profile V pointwise a.e. in  $\eta$ -s coordinates ("concentration converges to some profile")
- (iii) u converges to  $\Phi_{\gamma}$  uniformly in  $\eta$ -s coordinates ("concentration converges to self-similar profile")

Further,  $\Phi_{\gamma}(\alpha) = u^*$  if  $\gamma > 0$  and  $0 < \Phi_{\gamma}(\alpha) \le u^*$  if  $\gamma = 0$ .

#### Uniqueness (Darbenas, O., 2018)

- Solution is unique for a short time
- Solution remains unique subject to a transversality condition



### 13. Numerical illustration



Note: We see "rattling", cf. Gurevich and Tikhomirov (2017, 2018)



# 14. Simplified HHMO-model

Change to difference variable  $w \equiv u - \phi$ :

$$w_t - w_{xx} + pw = \left(\frac{\gamma}{x^2}H\left(\alpha - \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - p\right)\phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$$

- Drop damping term *pw*
- Replace p by  $H(w(x, x^2/\alpha^2))$
- Apply Duhamel's principle and set  $\omega(x) = w(x, x^2/\alpha^2)$

#### Scalar functional equation for $\omega$

$$\omega(x) = \Gamma - x^2 \int_0^1 K(\theta) H(\omega(x\theta)) \,\mathrm{d}\theta$$

with constant  $\Gamma$  and weakly degenerate kernel K

#### Definition: non-degenerate solution

Let  $x_i$  denote the maximal finite or infinite increasing sequence such that

- $\omega > 0$  on  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$  for i even ("ring")
- $\omega < 0$  on  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$  for *i* odd ("interring")

Set  $x^* \equiv \sup x_i$ .



# **15. Properties of the kernel** *K*

K is continuous on  $\left[0,1\right]$  and smooth on  $\left[0,1\right)$  with

- (i) K(0) = K'(0) = 0,
- (ii)  $K(\theta) \sim k\sqrt{1-\theta}$  as  $\theta \to 1$  for some k > 0,
- (iii)  $K(\theta)$  is non-negative and unimodal, i.e., there exists  $\theta^* \in (0, 1)$  such that  $K''(\theta) > 0$  for  $\theta \in (0, \theta^*)$  and  $K''(\theta) < 0$  for  $\theta \in (\theta^*, 1)$ .





# 16. Theorems (Darbenas, O., 2018)

#### Breakdown of ring domain for the simplified model

- A non-degenerate solution has an infinite number of rings,
- ring widths bounded by geometric progression, hence,  $x^*$  is finite

#### Existence of kernels with degenerate solutions

- There exist (open sets of) weakly degenerate kernels such that  $\omega$  is degenerate
- So finite-length finite-ring breakdown is possible

#### **Extended solutions**

 $(\omega, \rho)$  is an *extended solution* if

$$\omega(x) = \Gamma - x^2 \int_0^1 K(\theta) \,\rho(x\theta) \,\mathrm{d}\theta \quad \text{with} \quad \rho(y) \in \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega(y) < 0\\ [0,1] & \text{if } \omega(y) = 0\\ 1 & \text{if } \omega(y) > 0 \end{cases}$$

It is *regularly extended* if  $\omega = 0$  on some right-neighborhood of  $x^*$ .

- When  $K \in C([0,1])$ , extended solutions exist
- Regularly extended solutions are unique



# 17. Simplified model as MLCP

Set  $\omega = \omega_+ - \omega_-$ ,  $\sigma = 1 - \rho$ , and

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \rho \end{pmatrix}, \qquad W = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_+ \\ \omega_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle V, W \rangle = \int_0^a \omega_+(x) \,\sigma(x) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_0^a \omega_-(x) \,\rho(x) \,\mathrm{d}x$$

Then extended solutions can be formulated as the following mixed linear complementarity problem:

Find  $V \ge 0$ ,  $W \ge 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}V + \mathcal{M}W + B = 0$$

subject to

$$\langle V,W\rangle=0$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are linear operators defined by

$$\mathcal{L}V = \begin{pmatrix} x^2 \int_0^1 K(\theta) \,\rho(x\theta) \,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ \rho + \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{M}W = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_+ - \omega_- \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad and \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$



# 18. Outlook

- 1. Theory for the MLCP?
- 2. Full proofs of uniqueness, breakdown, asymptotics
- 3. Numerical Analysis?
- 4. Coarse-grained modeling?

