

# Topics in Mathematical Imaging

## Lecture 3

Carola-Bibiane Schönlieb

Department for Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics  
Cantab Capital Institute for the Mathematics of Information  
EPSRC Centre for Mathematical Imaging in Healthcare  
Alan Turing Institute  
University of Cambridge, UK

Spring School SFB 1294, March 2018



- Lecture 1: Variational models & PDEs for imaging by examples
- Lecture 2: Derivation of these models & analysis
- **Lecture 3: Numerical solution**
- Lecture 4: Some machine learning connections

Consider for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\min \mathcal{J}(u) + \mathcal{H}(u),$$

where  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are proper and convex, and (possibly)  $\mathcal{J}$  and / or  $\mathcal{H}$  is Lipschitz differentiable.

Example: ROF problem for  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  solve

$$\min_u \alpha \|Du\|_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2} \|u - g\|_2^2$$

A quick overview of main approaches for minimising such functionals

...

Reference for this part: [Chambolle, Pock, Acta Numerica 2016](#).

... algorithms which attempt to compute minimisers of the regularised ROF problem

$$\min_u \left\{ \alpha \sum \sqrt{u_x^2 + u_y^2} + \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \|u - g\|_2^2 \right\}$$

for a small  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ .

Since in this case the regularised TV is differentiable in the classical sense we can apply **classical numerical algorithms to compute a minimiser**, e.g. gradient descent, conjugate gradient etc.

In what follows: convex algorithms which look at the non-regularised problem.

# Preliminary concepts ...

## Definition

For a locally convex space  $V$  and for a convex function  $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ , we define the *subdifferential* of  $F$  at  $x \in V$ , as  $\partial F(x) = \emptyset$  if  $F(x) = \infty$ , otherwise

$$\partial F(x) := \partial F_V(x) := \{x^* \in V' : \langle x^*, y - x \rangle + F(x) \leq F(y) \quad \forall y \in V\},$$

where  $V'$  denotes the dual space of  $V$ . It is obvious from this definition that  $0 \in \partial F(x)$  if and only if  $x$  is a minimizer of  $F$ . We write  $\partial_V F$  for the subdifferential considered on the space  $V$ .

**Example:** Let  $V = \ell_1(\Lambda)$  and  $F(x) := \|x\|_1$  is the  $\ell_1$ -norm. We have

$$\partial \|\cdot\|_1(x) = \{\xi \in \ell_\infty(\Lambda) : \xi_\lambda \in \partial |\cdot|(x_\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\} \quad (1)$$

where  $\partial |\cdot|(z) = \{\text{sign}(z)\}$  if  $z \neq 0$  and  $\partial |\cdot|(0) = [-1, 1]$ .

# The Legendre-Fenchel transform

For  $J$  being one-homogeneous

that is,  $J(\lambda u) = \lambda J(u)$  for every  $u$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,

it is a standard fact in convex analysis that the Legendre-Fenchel transform

that is  $J^*(v) = \sup_u \langle u, v \rangle_X - J(u)$  (with  $\langle u, v \rangle_X = \sum_{i,j} u_{i,j} v_{i,j}$ )

is the characteristic function of a closed convex set  $K$ :

$$J^*(v) = \chi_K(v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \in K \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $J^{**} = J$ , we recover

$$J(u) = \sup_{v \in K} \langle u, v \rangle_X.$$

# Proximal map

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  convex, proper and l.s.c., then for any  $f$  there is a unique minimiser

$$u^* = \operatorname{argmin}_u \mathcal{J}(u) + \frac{1}{2\tau} \|u - f\|_2^2$$

We call  $u^* = \operatorname{prox}_{\tau\mathcal{J}}(f)$  the proximal map of  $\mathcal{J}$  at  $f$ . With optimality condition

$$0 \in \partial\mathcal{J}(u^*) + \frac{u^* - f}{\tau}$$

this reads

$$u^* = (I + \tau\partial\mathcal{J})^{-1}f.$$

Rockafellar 1997



One can show

$$f = \text{prox}_{\tau\mathcal{J}}(f) + \tau \text{prox}_{\frac{1}{\tau}\mathcal{J}^*}\left(\frac{f}{\tau}\right),$$

which shows:

*If we know how to compute  $\text{prox}_{\mathcal{J}}$  we also know how to compute  $\text{prox}_{\mathcal{J}^*}$ .*

Consider

$$\min_{u \in X} \mathcal{J}(Ku) + \mathcal{H}(u),$$

where  $\mathcal{J} : Y \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ ,  $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  convex, l.s.c.,  $K : X \rightarrow Y$  linear and bounded. Then (under mild appropriate assumptions on  $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{H}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{u \in X} \mathcal{J}(Ku) + \mathcal{H}(u) \\ & \underbrace{=}_{\mathcal{J}^{**} = \mathcal{J}} \min_{u \in X} \sup_{p \in Y} \langle p, Ku \rangle - \mathcal{J}^*(p) + \mathcal{H}(u) \\ & = \max_p \inf_u \langle p, Ku \rangle - \mathcal{J}^*(p) + \mathcal{H}(u) \\ & = \max_p -\mathcal{J}^*(p) - \mathcal{H}^*(-K^*p). \end{aligned}$$

The latter is the **dual problem**. Under above assumptions there exists at least one solution  $p^*$ . [Book, Ekeland, Temam 1999](#); [Survey article by Borwein, Luke 2015](#)

If  $u^*$  solves primal problem and  $p^*$  dual problem, then  $(u^*, p^*)$  is a saddle-point of **primal-dual problem**

$$\forall (u, p) \in X \times Y \text{ we have } \mathcal{L}(u^*, p) \leq \mathcal{L}(u^*, p^*) \leq \mathcal{L}(u, p^*)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(u, p) := \langle p, Ku \rangle - \mathcal{J}^*(p) + \mathcal{H}(u),$$

the Lagrangian. Moreover, we can define the **primal-dual gap**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(u, p) &:= \sup_{(u', p')} \mathcal{L}(u, p') - \mathcal{L}(u', p) \\ &= \mathcal{J}(Ku) + \mathcal{H}(u) + \mathcal{J}^*(p) + \mathcal{H}^*(-K^*p), \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes iff  $(u, p)$  is a saddle point.

## Example: dual ROF

$K = D$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = \alpha \|\cdot\|_{2,1}$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = \|\cdot - f\|_2^2/2$ . Then, the dual is

$$\begin{aligned} \max_p -\mathcal{J}^*(p) - \left( \frac{1}{2} \|D^*p\|_2^2 - \langle D^*p, f \rangle \right) \\ = -\min_p \left( \mathcal{J}^*(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|D^*p - f\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times 2}$ . Here

$$\mathcal{J}^*(p) = \delta_{\{\|\cdot\|_{2,\infty} \leq \alpha\}}(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |p_{i,j}|_2 \leq \alpha \forall i, j \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and therefore the **dual ROF problem** is

$$\min_p \{ \|D^*p - f\|_2^2 : |p_{i,j}|_2 \leq \alpha \forall i, j \}.$$

From optimality conditions of saddle-point problem we have relationship between  $u$  and  $p$ :

$$u = f - D^*p.$$

Now a few algorithms . . .

Let  $J$  be differential. A more ‘advanced’ version of gradient descent is implicit gradient descent: Initial guess  $u^0$ , then iterate for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$u^{k+1} = u^k - \tau \nabla J(u^{k+1}).$$

If  $u^{k+1}$  exists then it is a critical point of

$$\mathcal{J}(u) + \frac{\|u - u^k\|^2}{2\tau},$$

and if  $\mathcal{J}$  is convex and l.s.c. then  $u^{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\tau \mathcal{J}}(u^k)$ . If prox is easy to calculate we call  $\mathcal{J}$  **simple**.

The prox can also make sense for non-differentiable  $J$  and the above can be generalised to subgradient descent.

# Proximal point algorithm

Define Moreau-Yosida regularisation of  $\mathcal{J}$  with parameter  $\tau$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_\tau(\bar{u}) := \min_u \mathcal{J}(u) + \frac{\|u - \bar{u}\|^2}{2\tau}.$$

One can show

$$\nabla \mathcal{J}_\tau(\bar{u}) = \frac{\bar{u} - \text{prox}_{\tau\mathcal{J}}(\bar{u})}{\tau},$$

and so, implicit gradient descent on  $\mathcal{J}$

$$\begin{aligned} u^{k+1} &= \text{prox}_{\tau\mathcal{J}}(u^k) \\ &= (I + \tau\partial\mathcal{J})^{-1}(u^k) \\ &= u^k - \tau\nabla\mathcal{J}_\tau(u^k), \end{aligned}$$

is explicit gradient descent on  $\mathcal{J}_\tau$ . This is a special case of the **proximal point algorithm**.

[Martinet 1970](#). Convergence rates and accelerations [Bertsekas 2015](#); [Nesterov 1983, 2004](#).

# Forward-backward descent

Consider

$$\min_u \mathcal{J}(u) + \mathcal{H}(u),$$

with

- $\mathcal{J}$  is convex, l.s.c. and simple.
- $\mathcal{H}$  is convex with Lipschitz gradient.

Idea: Explicit descent in  $\mathcal{H}$  and implicit descent in  $\mathcal{J}$ . That is

$$u^{k+1} = T_\tau u^k,$$

with

$$T_\tau u = \text{prox}_{\tau\mathcal{J}}(u - \tau\nabla\mathcal{H}(u)).$$

Note, if  $u$  is a fixed point of  $T_\tau$  then it satisfies  $0 \in \nabla\mathcal{H}(u) + \partial\mathcal{J}(u)$ . If  $\tau \leq 1/L$  then  $u^k$  converge to a minimiser.

Accelerated version FISTA [Nesterov 2004](#), [Beck & Teboulle 2009](#)



# Primal-dual hybrid gradient

Consider

$$\min_u \mathcal{J}(Ku) + \mathcal{H}(u),$$

where  $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{H}$  are convex, l.s.c. and simple,  $K$  bounded and linear.  
Then, solve corresponding saddle-point problem

$$\max_p \inf_u \langle p, Ku \rangle - \mathcal{J}^*(p) + \mathcal{H}(u)$$

via

*Alternate proximal descent in  $u$  and ascent in  $p$ :*

$$u^{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\tau\mathcal{H}}(u^k - \tau K^* p^k)$$

$$p^{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\sigma\mathcal{J}^*}(p^k + \sigma K u^{k+1})$$

[Arrow, Hurwicz, Uzawa 1958](#); [Pock, Cremers, Bischof, Chambolle 2009](#); [Esser et al. 2010](#)

Linked to other approaches such as augmented Lagrangian and **ADMM** (alternating direction method of multipliers).

# Primal-dual hybrid gradient

Consider

$$\min_u \mathcal{J}(Ku) + \mathcal{H}(u),$$

where  $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{H}$  are convex, l.s.c. and simple,  $K$  bounded and linear.  
Then, solve corresponding saddle-point problem

$$\max_p \inf_u \langle p, Ku \rangle - \mathcal{J}^*(p) + \mathcal{H}(u)$$

via

*Alternate proximal descent in  $u$  and ascent in  $p$ :*

$$u^{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\tau\mathcal{H}}(u^k - \tau K^* p^k)$$

$$p^{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\sigma\mathcal{J}^*}(p^k + \sigma K u^{k+1})$$

**Not immediately clear that this converges**

[Arrow, Hurwicz, Uzawa 1958](#); [Pock, Cremers, Bischof, Chambolle 2009](#); [Esser et al. 2010](#)

Linked to other approaches such as augmented Lagrangian and **ADMM** (alternating direction method of multipliers).

# Primal-dual hybrid gradient

Consider

$$\min_u \mathcal{J}(Ku) + \mathcal{H}(u),$$

where  $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{H}$  are convex, l.s.c. and simple,  $K$  bounded and linear.  
Then, solve corresponding saddle-point problem

$$\max_p \inf_u \langle p, Ku \rangle - \mathcal{J}^*(p) + \mathcal{H}(u)$$

via

*Primal-dual hybrid gradient:*

$$\begin{aligned} u^{k+1} &= \text{prox}_{\tau\mathcal{H}}(u^k - \tau K^* p^k) \\ p^{k+1} &= \text{prox}_{\sigma\mathcal{J}^*}(p^k + \sigma K(2u^{k+1} - u^k)) \end{aligned}$$

[Arrow, Hurwicz, Uzawa 1958](#); [Pock, Cremers, Bischof, Chambolle 2009](#); [Esser et al. 2010](#)  
Linked to other approaches such as augmented Lagrangian and **ADMM** (alternating direction method of multipliers).

And now get your hands dirty with these approaches

...

# Thank you very much for your attention!



The  
Alan Turing  
Institute

More information see:

<http://www.ccimi.maths.cam.ac.uk>

<http://www.cmih.maths.cam.ac.uk>

<http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/research/cia/>

Email: [cbs31@cam.ac.uk](mailto:cbs31@cam.ac.uk)