# Multi-stage sequential sampling models: A framework for binary choice options Part 2

Adele Diederich

Jacobs University Bremen

Spring School SFB 1294 Dierhagen

March 18 - 22, 2019

### • Part 1

- Motivation 3 Examples
- Basic assumptions of sequential sampling models (as used here)
- Multi-stage sequential sampling models
- Part 2
  - Time and order schedules
  - Implementation
  - Predictions
  - Impact of attention time distribution
  - Impact of attribute order
- Part 3
  - Applications

- For each attribute of the choice alternatives a different accumulation process takes place.
- Attention switches from attribute to another and attributes are processed serially

#### Example: Two choice alternatives with three attributes



- $\mu_k$  drift rate for attribute k, direction of the process reflects strength of evidence
  - t<sub>1</sub> attention switching

- Order schedule: specific order in which attributes are considered
- **Time schedule**: specific times attention is switched from one attribute to another one
- Part of the model parameters

• Attention switching times

$$T_0 = T_{start} = 0 < T_1 < T_2 < \ldots < T_L = T_{end} \le \infty$$

Time horizon: T<sub>end</sub> maximum duration of the decision process
ΔT<sub>I</sub> = (T<sub>I-1</sub>, T<sub>I</sub>] : the *I*-th attention time interval.

• Finite number of attributes:  $k = 1, \dots, K$ 

### Definition

A time and order schedule consists of a sequence  $\{T_l\}_{l=1,...,L}$  of attention switching times, and a sequence  $\{k_l \in \{1,...,K\}\}_{l=1,...,L}$  of attribute indices which specifies that during the attention time interval  $\Delta T_l$  the  $k_l$ -th attribute is considered. At attention switching time  $T_l$ , l = 1, ..., L - 1, attention switches from attribute  $k_l$  to attribute  $k_{l+1}$ . • The sampling process X(t) is specified by a sequence of attention switching times

$$T_0 = T_{start} = 0 < T_1 < T_2 < \ldots < T_{L-1} < T_L = T_{end} \le +\infty$$

- The process *X*(*t*) determined by such a schedule is a **piecewise** OUP or Wiener process.
- For  $t \in [T_{l-1}, T_l]$  the process is determined by

$$dX(t) = (\delta_{k_l} - \gamma_{k_l}X(t))dt + \sigma dW(t)$$

or

$$dX(t) = \mu_{k_l} - X(t)dt + \sigma dW(t)$$

- Time schedule: deterministic or random
- Order schedule: deterministic or random

# Example: Deterministic time and order schedule of length L = 4



- Three different attributes (K = 3)
- Attribute order (1, 2, 1, 3), i.e.,  $k_1 = 1$ ,  $k_2 = 2$ ,  $k_3 = 1$ ,  $k_4 = 3$

- Time: random variable T with a given distribution
- Order: stochastic  $K \times K$  matrices  $D^{(l)}$  such that  $d_{k'k}^{(l)} \ge 0$  describes the probability with which attention switches from the k'-th attribute to the k-th attribute at switching time  $T_l$ , l = 1, ..., L 1

- $d_{kk}^{(I)} = 0$  to avoid a no switching
- For two attributes (K = 2), this leads to  $d_{11}^{(l)} = d_{22}^{(l)} = 0$  and  $d_{12}^{(l)} = d_{21}^{(l)} = 1$
- The attribute sequence is either (1, 2, 1, 2, ...) or (2, 1, 2, 1, ...), depending on whether  $k_1 = 1$  or  $k_1 = 2$

$$D^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3/4 & 1/4 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

results for  $k_1 = 1$  in order sequences (1, 2, 1), (1, 3, 1), (1, 3, 2) with probability 1/2, 3/8, 1/8, respectively.

• Note, matrix  $D^{(1)}$  models the situation when no preference or bias in switching from any given to any other attribute is assumed.

$$D^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3/4 & 1/4 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

results for  $k_1 = 1$  in order sequence (1, 2, 1) with probability 1/2.

$$D^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3/4 & 1/4 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

results for  $k_1 = 1$  in order sequence (1, 3, 1) with probability 3/8.

$$D^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad D^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3/4 & 1/4 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

results for  $k_1 = 1$  in order sequence (1, 3, 2) with probability 1/8.

- Attention time, i.e., attention spent on an attribute,  $\Delta T_L = [T_{l-1} - T_l]$ 
  - Deterministic
  - Geometric distribution  $(Pr(\Delta T_L = n) = (1 r)^{n-1}r, n = 1, 2, ...)$
  - Poisson  $(Pr(\Delta T_L = n) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...,)$
  - Binomial  $Pr(\Delta T_L = n) = \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!}p^n(1-p)^{N-n}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots, N,$
  - Uniform distribution  $(Pr(\Delta T_L = n) = \frac{1}{2M+1}, n = N M, \dots, N + M)$
- Example
  - $E(\Delta T_L) = 300$
  - Unif 1:  $M = \sqrt{N} \approx 18$
  - Unif 2: M = N/2 = 150
  - Unif 3: *M* = *N* − 1 = 299

## Attention time distributions $\Delta T_L$



March 18 - 22, 2019 18 / 65

- ( A 🖓

э

- Stochastic process approximation by discrete time, finite state space Markov chain
- Markov chain matrix form

- Brownian bridge; Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistics
- Feynman-Kac formula
- Stochastic Fourier analysis
- ...
- Scaled random walk (RW)

 The continuous state space S = [θ<sub>A</sub>, θ<sub>A</sub>] of the Wiener process (or OUP) is replace by a finite state space

$$S = \{-m_B\Delta, \ldots, -2\Delta, -\Delta, 0, +\Delta, +2\Delta, \ldots, +m_A\Delta\},\$$

with  $\theta_A \approx m_A \Delta$  and  $\theta_B \approx -m_B \Delta$ , written as

$$S = \{x_i := i\Delta : i \in \mathcal{I}\}, \qquad \mathcal{I} = \{-m_B, \dots, m_A\} \subset \mathbb{Z},$$

and  $m = m_A \Delta + m_B \Delta + 1$  states.

Δ: step-size of change in evidence

- The time space (parameter set) of a RW is  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ .
- Given a time interval [0, t]: Divide this into subintervals of length  $\tau \rightarrow t/\tau$  such subintervals
- The process makes a step at times  $au, 2 au, 3 au, \ldots$

 Let X<sub>n</sub> denote the amount of information accumulated up to time unit n ≈ t/τ:

$$X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$

• Assume that the distribution of the random variable  $\xi$  is i.i.d with

$$Pr[\xi_i = +\Delta] = Pr[\xi_i = -\Delta] = 0.5.$$

• Set  $X_0 = 0$ 

• Set  $\Delta = \sqrt{\tau}$ 

- If  $\tau \to 0$ , then  $X_{t/\tau}$  converges in distribution to a random variable  $W_t$  called *standard Wiener process* and has continuous time set and continuous state space.
- $W_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t)$

• The random variable  $W_t$  is multiplied by a constant,  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ , and a linear function of time,  $\mu t$ , is added, where  $\mu$ , can be positive, negative or zero, and giving a particular initial value  $X_0 = z$  results in

$$X_t = z + \mu t + \sigma W_t.$$

•  $X_t \sim \mathcal{N}(z + \mu t, \sigma^2 t)$ 

### • Similar procedures work for the OUP approximation

## MC matrix approach

### Wiener

Probabilities to move up or down by  $\Delta$  in small time interval  $\tau$  ,  $\Delta=\sigma\sqrt{\tau}$ 

$$p_{i,i-1}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \mu_k \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\sigma} \right), \qquad p_{i,i+1}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \mu_k \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\sigma} \right),$$

### OUP

Probabilities to move up or down by  $\Delta$  in small time interval  $\tau$  ,  $\Delta=\sigma\sqrt{\alpha\tau}$ 

$$p_{j,i} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( 1 + (\delta_k - \gamma_k x_j) \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\sigma} \right), & j = i+1, \\ \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( 1 - (\delta_k - \gamma_k x_j) \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\sigma} \right), & j = i-1, \\ 1 - p_{i,i+1} - p_{i,i-1}, & j = i, \\ 0, & |j-i| > 1. \end{cases}$$

kth attribute

## MC matrix approach



Adele Diederich (JUB)

March 18 – 22, 2019

▶ < ∃ ▶

28 / 65

- I: Identity matrix, corresponds to the absorbing states associated with the two decision thresholds
- **Q**<sub>k</sub>: contains the transient probabilities, corresponding to the updating evidence process
- **R**<sub>k</sub>: contains the one-step transition probabilities from the transient to the absorbing states
- The first column vector of the matrix  $R_k$  (denoted by  $R_{B,k}$ ) contains the transient probabilities for reaching alternative B, whereas the second  $R_{A,k}$  contains the ones for alternative A

- **Z**: starting position of the process over the transient states, *S*<sup>\*</sup>, before the decision process begins.
- Fixed state  $i_0$ , the *initial state*,  $Z_j = 1$  if  $j = i_0$ ,  $Z_j = 0$  if  $j \neq i_0$ .
  - Biases:  $X_0 > 0$  or  $X_0 < 0$
  - Unbiased:  $X_0 = 0$
- Randomly located in in the state space according to a probability distribution **Z** on  $S^*$ , *initial distribution*,  $0 \le z_i \le 1$  and  $\sum_i z_i = 1$ 
  - Biased: Probability mass on positions j > (m-1)/2 or on positions j < (m-1)/2
  - Unbiased: on position j = (m-1)/2

## Distribution and moments for one attribute

- $n = t/\tau$ 
  - Probability distribution

$$\Pr[T = n \cap \text{choose } A] = \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Q}^{n-1} \mathbf{R}_A, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty,$$

• Probability of choosing A

$$Pr(T_A < \infty) \approx p_A = \mathbf{Z}' \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Q}^{n-1} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q})^{-1} \mathbf{R}_A$$

• r-th moment for the distribution T to choose alternative A

$$E[T^r \mid A] \approx \frac{\tau}{Pr(A)} \mathbf{Z}' \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^r \mathbf{Q}^{n-1} \mathbf{R}_A$$

Mean RT

$$E[T \mid A] \approx ET_A := \frac{\tau}{Pr(A)} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q})^{-2} \mathbf{R}_A$$

- k attributes, L attention times
  - Probability to choose A

$$p_{A} = Z' \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} Q_{k_{1}}^{i-1} R_{A,k_{1}} + Z' Q_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{n_{2}} Q_{k_{2}}^{i-(n_{1}+1)} R_{A,k_{2}} + \dots$$
$$\dots + Z' Q_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}} \dots Q_{k_{L-1}}^{n_{L-1}-n_{L-2}} \sum_{i=n_{L-1}+1}^{n_{L}} Q_{n_{L}}^{i-(n_{L-1}+1)} R_{A,k_{L}},$$

- k attributes, L attention times
  - Mean RT to choose A

$$ET_{A} = \frac{\tau}{p_{A}} \left[ Z' \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} iQ_{k_{1}}^{i-1} R_{A,k_{1}} + Z' Q_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{n_{2}} iQ_{k_{2}}^{i-(n_{1}+1)} R_{A,k_{2}} + \dots + Z' Q_{k_{1}}^{n_{1}} \dots Q_{k_{L-1}}^{n_{L-1}-n_{L-2}} \sum_{i=n_{L-1}+1}^{n_{L}} iQ_{n_{L}}^{i-(n_{L-1}+1)} R_{A,k_{L}} \right].$$

• Probability mass distribution (pdf)

$$Pr(T_{at} = n) = p_{n,k}$$

• Cumulative distribution function (cdf)

$$Pr(T_{at} \leq n) = f_{n,k} := \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{i,k}, \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

• L = 1, attribute k

р

$$P_{A,k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n,k} Z' \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_k^{i-1} \right) R_{A,k}$$
$$= Z' \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} p_{n,k} \right) Q_k^{i-1} \right] R_{A,k}$$
$$= Z' \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - f_{i,k}) Q_k^i \right] R_{A,k}$$

Adele Diederich (JUB)

March 18 - 22, 2019 35 / 65

• 
$$p_{AB,k} := [p_{B,k}, p_{A,k}]$$
  
 $p_{AB,k} = Z'V_k, \qquad V_k := \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - f_{i,k})Q_k^i\right]R_k.$ 

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## Example: Geometrically distributed attention time

• Geometric distribution

$$Pr(T_{at} = n) = (1 - r)^{n-1}r, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_k &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} r_k (1-r_k)^{j-1} \right) Q_k^i R_k \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-r_k)^i Q_k^i R_k \\ &= (I - (1-r_k) Q_k)^{-1} R_k \end{aligned}$$

### • Uniformly distributed attention time

$$f_{i,k} = rac{i - N + M + 1}{2M + 1}$$
 and  $(1 - f_{i,k}) = rac{M + N - i}{2M + 1}$ 

(the surviver function).

$$V_{k} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-M-1} Q_{k}^{i} + \sum_{i=N-M}^{N+M-1} \frac{N+M-i}{2M+1} Q_{k}^{i}\right) R_{k}.$$

Adele Diederich (JUB)

## L = 1: Random time schedule – Expected time

• L = 1, attribute k

$$et_{A,k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n,k} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (i+1)Z'Q_k^i \right) R_{A,k} \\ = Z' \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} p_{n,k} \right) (i+1)Q_k^i \right] R_{A,k} \\ = Z' \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-f_{i,k})(i+1)Q_k^i \right] R_{A,k}.$$

$$et_{AB,k} := [et_{B,k}, et_{A,k}] = Z'W_k$$
 $W_k := \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-f_{i,k})(i+1)Q_k^i\right]R_k$ 

For each fixed k<sub>1</sub> = k' and n<sub>1</sub> = T'<sub>at</sub> probabilities for reaching a decision after n<sub>1</sub> are given by

$$\left[ \Pr(T'_{at} < \frac{T_B}{\tau} < \infty), \Pr(T'_{at} < \frac{T_A}{\tau} < \infty) \right]_{n_1 = T'_{at}, k_1 = k'}$$
$$\approx \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_{k'k} Z' Q_{k'}^{n_1} V_k = Z' Q_{k'}^{T'_{at}} (\sum_{k=1}^{K} d_{k'k} V_k)$$

$$\begin{split} [p_B, p_A]_{k_1=k'} &= Z' V_{k'} + \sum_{n \ge 0} p_{n,k'} Z' Q_{k'}^n \left( \sum_{k=1}^K d_{k'k} V_k \right) \\ &= Z' \left[ V_{k'} + \left( \sum_{n \ge 0} p_{n,k'} Q_{k'}^n \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^K d_{k'k} V_k \right) \right] \\ &= Z' \left[ V_{k'} + B_{k'} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K d_{k'k} V_k \right) \right], \quad k' = 1, \dots, K, \end{split}$$

where

$$B_k = \sum_{n\geq 0} p_{n,k} Q_k^n, \qquad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

## L = 2 : Expected times

$$\begin{aligned} et_{AB}|_{k_{1}=k'} &= Z'W_{k'} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k} Z'Q_{k'}^{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_{k'k} (nV_{k} + W_{k}) \right) \\ &= Z' \left[ W_{k'} + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_{i,k'} iQ_{k'}^{i} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_{k'k} V_{k} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_{i,k'} Q_{k'}^{i} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_{k'k} W_{k} \right) \right] \\ &= Z' \left[ W_{k'} + C_{k'} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_{k'k} V_{k} \right) + B_{k'} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_{k'k} W_{k} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_k = \sum_{n\geq 0} p_{n,k} n Q_k^n, \qquad k = 1, \dots, K.$$

< m</li>

## Notation summary

$$egin{aligned} V_k &:= \left[\sum_{i=0}^\infty (1-f_{i,k})Q_k^i
ight]R_k \ W_k &:= \left[\sum_{i=0}^\infty (1-f_{i,k})(i+1)Q_k^i
ight]R_k \ B_k &:= \sum_{n\geq 0} p_{n,k}Q_k^n \ C_k &= \sum_{n\geq 0} p_{n,k}nQ_k^n \end{aligned}$$

Adele Diederich (JUB)

March 18 – 22, 2019 43 /

A B A A B A

Image: A matrix

For arbitrary *L*, it is more convenient to write the resulting recursion in terms of *block-matrix-vector operations*.

- **Z** the  $K \times 1$  array with each entry equal to the initial distribution Z for each of the K attributes(think of **Z**' as its transpose, a  $1 \times K$  array with entries Z').
- **B** the  $K \times K$  diagonal array with the  $B_k$  on the diagonal.
- **C** the  $K \times K$  diagonal array with the  $C_k$  on the diagonal with the same attention time distributions corresponding to **B**.
- I the  $K \times K$  diagonal array, with identity matrices I of the appropriate size on the diagonal.
- **V** the  $K \times 1$  array with the  $V_k$  as entries according.
- **W** the  $K \times 1$  array with the  $W_k$  as entries according.

# Choice probabilities and mean choice response times for arbitrary L

$$\mathbf{p}_{AB} = \mathbf{Z}' \left( (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B}D^{(1)}) \dots (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B}D^{(l-1)}) \right) \mathbf{V}$$
$$\mathbf{et}_{AB} = \mathbf{Z}' \left[ \left( (\mathbf{C}D^{(1)}) \dots (\mathbf{C}D^{(l-1)}) \right) \mathbf{V} + ((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B}D) \dots (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B}D)) \mathbf{W} \right]$$

Adele Diederich (JUB)

For the geometric distribution

• 
$$B_k = r_k Q_k (I - (1 - r_k) Q_k)^{-1}$$

• Closed form expressions also for: Poisson, binomial, uniform

Parameters fixed

• 
$$\sigma = 1; \ \theta_A = -\theta_B = 10$$

• 
$$\Delta = 1/4$$
,  $\tau = 1/16 \rightarrow m = 81$  (matrix size)

• 
$$X(t) = 0$$

• Expected value of attention time:  $E(\Delta T_L) = 300$ 

3 1 4 3 1

Image: Image:

- Impact of attention time distributions
- Impact of attribute order



- Both attributes favor choosing alternative A
- Attribute 1 with  $\mu_1$  is considered first
- Attribute 2 with μ<sub>2</sub> is considered second

•  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ 

## Example 1: $\mu_1 = .1$ ; $\mu_2 = 0.01$ ; $k_1 = 1$ ; $k_2 = 2$ ; infinite

### Det Geom Unif 1 Unif 2



Adele Diederich (JUB)

March 18 – 22, 2019 50 / 65

$$\mu_1 = .1 > \mu_2 = 0.01; \ k_1 = 1; \ k_2 = 2;$$

The **more** frequently chosen alternative has **shorter** response times than the less frequently chosen alternative, regardless of the specific parameter values, and **regardless** of the underlying distribution for the attention time  $\Delta T_L$ .



- Both attributes favor choosing alternative A
- Attribute 2 with μ<sub>2</sub> is considered first
- Attribute 1 with  $\mu_1$  is considered second

•  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ 

# Example 2: $\mu_1 = .1$ ; $\mu_2 = 0.01$ ; $k_1 = 2$ ; $k_2 = 1$ ; infinite

### Det Geom Unif 1 Unif 2



Adele Diederich (JUB)

March 18 – 22, 2019 53 / 65

 $\mu_1 = .1 > \mu_2 = 0.01; \ k_1 = 2; \ k_2 = 1;$ 

The **more** frequently chosen alternative has **longer** response times than the less frequently chosen alternative, regardless of the specific parameter values, and **regardless** of the underlying distribution for the attention time T.

(fast error)



- Attribute 1 with µ<sub>1</sub> > 0 favors choosing alternative A
- Attribute 2 with μ<sub>2</sub> < 0 favors choosing alternative B

# Example 3: $\mu_1 = .1$ ; $\mu_2 = -0.2$ ; $k_1 = 1$ ; $k_2 = 2$ ; infinite



# Example 4: $\mu_1 = .1$ ; $\mu_2 = -0.2$ ; $k_1 = 2$ ; $k_2 = 1$ ; infinite

### Det Geom Unif 1 Unif 2



March 18 – 22, 2019

57 / 65

The model predicts preference reversals, regardless of the specific parameter values, and regardless of the underlying distribution for the attention time  $\Delta T_L$ .

(and a rich choice response time/probability pattern)

• Examples 5, 6, and 7: similar to Examples 1, 2, and 3 but with finite time horizon

## Example 5: $\mu_1 = .1$ ; $\mu_2 = 0.01$ ; $k_1 = 1$ ; $k_2 = 2$ ; finite



## Example 6: $\mu_1 = .1$ ; $\mu_2 = 0.01$ ; $k_1 = 2$ ; $k_2 = 1$ ; finite



## Example 7: $\mu_1 = .1$ ; $\mu_2 = -.2$ ; $k_1 = 1$ ; $k_2 = 2$ ; finite



• If  $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > 0$  the model **always** predicts shorter response times for the more frequently chosen alternative, regardless of the assumed underlying attention time distribution.

- If  $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > 0$  the model **always** predicts shorter response times for the more frequently chosen alternative, regardless of the assumed underlying attention time distribution.
- If  $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2$  the model **always** predicts faster responses for the less frequently chosen alternative, regardless of the assumed underlying attention time distribution.

- If  $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > 0$  the model **always** predicts shorter response times for the more frequently chosen alternative, regardless of the assumed underlying attention time distribution.
- If  $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2$  the model **always** predicts faster responses for the less frequently chosen alternative, regardless of the assumed underlying attention time distribution.
- If  $\mu_1 < 0 < \mu_2$  the model predicts preference reversals, regardless of the assumed underlying attention time distribution.

• With finite decision horizon, the model predicts a probability  $p_0 > 0$  of not deciding, regardless of the assumed underlying attention time distribution.

- With finite decision horizon, the model predicts a probability  $p_0 > 0$  of not deciding, regardless of the assumed underlying attention time distribution.
- The specific attention time distribution may be related to the experimental paradigm.
  - E.g., tracking eye movements: the sequence of attribute consideration and the switching times are directly observable → deterministic or a uniform distribution with a small variance
  - E.g., all attributes are shown simultaneously (complex objects) and attention may shift at any moment in time  $\longrightarrow$  a geometric distribution or a uniform distribution with a large variance

- Diederich, A. & Mallahi-Karai, K. (2018). Stochastic Methods for Modeling Decision-Making. In: Batchelder, W., Colonius, H., and E. N. Dzhafarov (Eds). New Handbook of Mathematical Psychology Vol. II Modeling and Measurement. Cambridge University Press.
- Diederich, A. & Oswald, P. (2014). Sequential sampling model for multiattribute choice alternatives with random attention time and processing order. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00697